

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

NOTES AND DISCUSSIONS.

A THIRD SYSTEM OF SYMBOLS FOR THE HEBREW YOWELS AND ACCENTS.

DR. NEUBAUER, in his "Literary Gleanings, XII." (JEWISH QUARTERLY REVIEW, No. 26, p. 361, sqq.) calls attention to various kinds of abbreviations which were in use among the Jews of the Middle Ages. Incidentally reference is made to two fragments of Bible texts found lately in Egypt, and acquired by the Bodleian Library, as showing a different kind of shorthand writing, and eight lines are given as an example of what these fragments contain. At first the reader is bewildered, not knowing what to understand by the disconnected letters and the strange points and lines. A closer examination, however, and a minute comparison with the Biblical text, discloses the most important results, that we have here a new, hitherto unknown system of signs for vowels and accents. I am only sorry that not more of the text has been published, as there is some doubt in a few cases as to the correctness of the number and position of the points. An inspection of the whole fragments may perhaps modify a little my view, but not to a great extent. Dr. Neubauer was good enough to copy for me three more verses, all that I asked for. The following tables will show the value of each of these signs, and their equivalent in the ordinary system of vowels and accents in our Hebrew books:-

A.--VOWELS.

	Their form in the in the Ordinary System. Fragments.		How often each of these signs occurs in the 11 verses.
Kamets Pathach Segol Chirek Cholem Shurek Tsere	7	Z. Z. Z. Z. Z. Z. Z. Z	21 25 4 4 7 4 6

B.—ACCENTS.

		Their	How often each of	
		in the Ordinary System.	in the Fragments.	these signs occurs in the 11 verses.
Rebia	•••	Ŕ	Ŕ	5
Gershaim		ř.	Ŕ	1
Zakef Katan		ä	Ŕ	4
Tipcha		Ŕ	B	17
Tebhir		Ŗ	Ŕ	5
Pashta		Ŕ	ä or š	9 and 2
Yethib		ĸ	Ä	1
Munach & Ma	hpach	Ŗ	P or P	6
Darga		ķ	¥	2
Mercha		ĸ	ķ	9
Zakef gadol		2	Ŕ	1
Pesik		18	. 18	2
Makkef		· -19	-14	5

There is no sign for silluk, nor for athnach, unless the extra mark over the j in הצלח (8th verse) be meant for this accent. Dagesh and rafeh are not marked.

The vowels and accents agree, on the whole, with the text in the ordinary editions of the Bible, with the following exceptions: the fragments have בְּמֶלְקְחֵיִם and יַרְאָלָ, instead of בְּמֶלְקְחַיִם, and יַרְאָלָ and יִרְאָלָ, (8th, 7th, and 11th verses).

It appears strange that one symbol should represent a vowel and an accent: Shurek and pashta; whilst, on the other hand, one accent, pashta, is represented by two different signs, according as it precedes mahpach, or follows it. I think that a difference existed, and was marked by a slight variation in the position or the size of the points. Old age, may to some extent have obliterated these distinctions by reducing the size and the number of points, by turning small strokes into dots, and breaking up small lines into points; time seems to have attacked also the letters, and made some of them appear in a different garb. In the 1st verse—in the text published l.c.—e.g. time has changed, I conjecture, \exists into \exists , the first \overrightarrow{p} in the 6th into \overrightarrow{p} , \overrightarrow{n} in the 5th into \overrightarrow{n} , deprived \overrightarrow{p} and the third \overrightarrow{p} in the 7th verse of their accents, and is perhaps the cause that \overrightarrow{n} (1st

verse and 6th) is read for 7, 77 for 7 (in the 5th and 8th), and that munach is reduced in some cases to a simple vertical line.

The text of these fragments seems to have been intended as a help for readers in the Synagogue or learners in the schools, enabling them to read in accordance with the traditional pronunciation and modulation, and at the same time warning against mistakes likely to be made, especially by beginners, in the reading of texts without vowel-points and accents; e.g. the kamets of vav in TRAR (3rd verse), TW) (9th and 10th), is pointed out in order that the vav should not be read with sheva, and, vice versa, the sheva in TRAR is to prevent the reading of the lamed with kamets. Mercha and tipcha are marked most frequently, because they are very easily mistaken the one for the other.

A careful examination of the text—for the facilitating of which I add the text in full—proves that, from this point of view, the writer of the fragments has selected the syllables most judiciously.

- ** The larger letters with the points and strokes are those contained in the fragments, the smaller ones I added in order to illustrate the relation of the fragments to the Biblical text.
- ו הוי מגיעי בֿית בבית שרָה בשרה יקריבו עד אפס מקום והושבתם לבדבם בקרב הארץ
- 2 באזני יי צבאות אם-לא בתים רבים לשמח יחיו גדלים ישובים מאין ושב י
- 3 בשנת-מות המלך עזיהו ואראה את אדני ישב על כפא רם ונשא ושוליו מלאים את החיכל
- 4 שרפֿים עמדים : ממשל לו שש כנפּים שש כנפּים לאחד בשתים יכסה פניו ובשתים יכחה רגליו ובשתים יעופף
- 5 וקרא זה אל זה ואמר קדוש קדוש קדוש יי צבאות מרָא כל האדץ כבודו
 - 6 וּינֹעוֹ אַמות הספים מקול הקורא והבּית ימלא עשו
- 7 וֹאָמַר אוי-לי כי נדמֿיתי כִי אִישׁ מִׁמא שפּתִּים אנכי ובתוד קַם-ממא שפתים אנבי ישב כִי את-המלד יי צבאות ראו עיני

- א וֹיעָף אלי אחד מן השרפים ובירו רצפ'ה במלקחים אלקח מעל המזבח
- 9 זּוֹגְעַ על פֿי ויאמר חנֵח לַנְע זה על-שפתיד ומר עוֹנֵד והמאתך תכפר
- 10 בֿתצים ובֿקשת יבא שמה כי-שׁמֹיר וְשַּׁית תהיה כל הארץ
- 11 וכל ההרים אשר במערה ועדרון לא תבוא שמח יראת שמיר ושית והוֹה למשלח שור ולמרמס שה

Dr. Neubauer communicated to me the following solution suggested by Dr. Simonsen, of Copenhagen. He considers the letters in these fragments as Masoretic mnemonics, and believes that the letters in the 1st verse indicate the passages of the Bible in which אוני (without article) occurs, and those of the 3rd verse the passages in Isaiah containing שש without vav, viz. 1st verse אוני (Isaiah containing שש without vav, viz. 1st verse אוני (Isaiah containing שש without vav, viz. 1st verse אוני (Isaiah containing ששר (in a similar context, ib. 21, 22), אוני (Isaiah ii. 17), אוני (ib. ii. 22). 3rd verse: אוני (ib. vi. 5) אוני (ib. x. 24), אוני (ib. xxvi. 21), אוני (ib. xxvii. 16). No notice has been taken in this attempt of the points and strokes with which the letters are provided.

In conclusion, a query on p. 272 of the last number of the Jewish Quarterly Review may here be answered. Domninus contains the two words בן "blood," and גע" spitting" (comp. Aruch, s.v. חב ii.).

M. FRIEDLANDER.

- P.S.—Whilst the above was in the hands of the printer, Dr. Neubauer, with his usual courtesy, sent me a photograph of four pages of these fragments, containing Isa. v. 8 to vii. 10, and xlv. 20 to xlviii. 11. I am thus enabled to add a few notes to the above, and sincerely thank the authorities of the Bodleian Library for their kindness.
- 1.—There is no special sign for sheva, whether simple or compound; a segol corresponds to the sheva mobile of our editions; before chirek or before yod the sheva mobile is replaced by chirek, and by pathach before a guttural with pathach. The compound sheva is represented by the simple vowel contained in the compound sheva. There is only one sign for both the long and the short kamets.
- 2.—Dagesh, both forte and lene, are marked by placing a semicircle over the letter in this position: §. The absence of dagesh or mappik is marked thus: §. Only π with dagesh has the ordinary form (π) .

- 3.—The letters selected from each word are not always those that have the accent; in some cases even the letters provided with accents are not the accented syllables. The sign for *dagesh* is sometimes placed on the letter before that which is doubled.
- 4.—A word with two accents is divided by a hyphen in the middle of the word.
- 5.—D takes the place of $\dot{\mathbf{w}}$, the latter being marked by a dot inside the letter on the right ($\dot{\mathbf{w}}$). The $\dot{\mathbf{w}}$ in $\dot{\mathbf{w}}$) (xlv. 20) has the mark for rafeh ($\dot{\mathbf{w}}$). It is possible that the semicircle indicates in this case the absence of the vowel $\dot{\mathbf{w}}$, and $\dot{\mathbf{w}}$ is here without the plural ending $\dot{\mathbf{w}}$, like $\dot{\mathbf{w}}$, xlv. 24.
- 6.—The beginning of a *sedra* is marked by a marginal \mathcal{D} , vi. 13, and xlvii. 6, unless the letter marks the pronunciation of $\dot{\mathcal{D}}$ occurring in the same line.

STUDIES IN THE BOOK OF JEREMIAH.

I.—THE NARRATIVES.

THE structure and arrangement of the mingled narratives and prophecies which occupy so large a portion of the book of Jeremiah, afford the student a problem at once fascinating and perplexing. These episodes are carefully dated; they are furnished with editorial introductions, detailing with some particularity the occasions to which they refer; and yet they present a sequence which is utterly without order. Or rather, while in some parts of the book there is an approach to chronological succession, in others it is apparently set A complete explanation of these inconsistencies is not now attainable, but we can see that they are in great measure due to the insertion in a framework belonging to the reign of Jehoiakim of materials of the age of Zedekiah, or of a still later date, at points which may have been determined by accident or convenience, by the circumstances under which these materials came to the hands of Jeremiah's editors, or by the physical structure of the manuscript which lay before them.